

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

“Kamat Towers” 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Patto Plaza, Panaji, Goa – 403 001

Tel: 0832 2437880 E-mail: [spio-gsic.goa@nic.in](mailto:spio-gsic.goa@nic.in) Website: [www.scic.goa.gov.in](http://www.scic.goa.gov.in)

---

**Appeal No. 317/2023/SCIC**

Adv. Atish P. Mandrekar,  
“Swayambhoo”,  
H.No. 549/C,  
Vodlem Bhat, Taleigao,  
Panaji-Goa 403002.

-----Appellant

**v/s**

1. Public Information Officer,  
Talathi of Panaji,  
O/o the Mamlatdar of Tiswadi,  
Panaji-Goa.

2. First Appellate Authority,  
Mamlatdar of Tiswadi,  
Panaji-Goa.

-----Respondents

**Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar**

State Chief Information Commissioner

**Filed on: 08/09/2023**

**Decided on: 14/12/2023**

**ORDER**

1. The Appellant, Adv. Atish P. Mandrekar, r/o. House No. 549/C, “Swayambhoo”, Vodlem Bhat, Taleigao, Tiswadi Goa vide his application dated 01/06/2023 filed under Section 6 (1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as the ‘Act’) sought certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO), Deputy Collector Office, Tiswadi, Panaji Goa.
2. The PIO of the Office of Deputy Collector Tiswadi, Panaji Goa transferred said application to the PIO, Talathi of Panaji, Office of the Mamlatdar of Tiswadi on 26/06/2023 under Section 6 (3) of the Act.
3. Since the PIO failed and neglected to response within stipulated period of 30 days, the Appellant filed first appeal before the

Mamlatdar of Tiswadi, at Panaji Goa on 02/08/2023 being First Appellate Authority (FAA).

4. The FAA vide its order dated 25/09/2023 allowed the first appeal and directed the PIO to furnish the information free of cost to the Appellant.
5. Since the PIO failed and neglected to comply with the order of the FAA the Appellant landed before the Commission by this second appeal under Section 19 (3) of the Act, by seeking various reliefs.
6. Notices were issued to the parties, pursuant to which, Adv. Atish P. Mandrekar appeared in person on 23/10/2023, the PIO, Shri. Rajesh Navelkar appeared and submitted that he is ready and willing to furnish the information to the Appellant. The Commission, therefore, directed the PIO to file a formal reply and come alongwith the information on next date of hearing and matter was fixed for compliance on 23/11/2023.
7. In the course of hearing on 23/11/2023, the PIO Shri. Rajesh Navelkar appeared and filed his reply and furnished a bunch of documents to the Appellant and submitted that he has furnished all the available information to the Appellant. Since the information furnished by the PIO was voluminous in nature, the Appellant sought time to scrutinise the information. Time was granted and matter was fixed for clarification / order on 14/12/2023.
8. During the course of hearing today i.e. 14/12/2023, the Appellant, Adv. Atish P. Mandrekar appeared in person and submitted that he is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO and that he does not wish to proceed further in the matter. He also made endorsement on the appeal memo that "The applicant withdraws the second appeal."

9. In view of the above endorsement of the Appellant on the appeal memo, the appeal is disposed off.

- Proceeding closed.
- Pronounced in the open court.
- Notify the parties.

Sd/-

**(Vishwas R. Satarkar)**

State Chief Information Commissioner